

205
-5



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Soil
Conservation
Service

P. O. Box 11350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84147

Received-DOE
Grand Jct. Proj. Office

JUN 07 1990

June 4, 1990

Mr. Dee J. Williamson
U. S. Dept. of Energy
Grand Junction Projects Office
P. O. Box 2567
Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Dear Mr. Williamson:

We have reviewed the project for the proposed depository for uranium tailings.

The area is determined to be excluded from all categories of Important Farmland. A completed form AD-1006 is enclosed.

If we can be of further assistance, please call on us at (801) 524-5064.

Sincerely,

FERRIS P. ALLGOOD
State Soil Scientist

Enclosure



The Soil Conservation Service
is an agency of the
Department of Agriculture

19020
02071

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of Land Evaluation Request <u>May 16, 1990</u>	
Name Of Project <u>Monticello Remedial Action Project</u>		Federal Agency Involved <u>U.S. Dept. of Energy</u>	
Proposed Land Use <u>Depository For Uranium Tailings</u>		County And State <u>San Juan County, UTAH</u>	
PART II (To be completed by SCS)		Date Request Received By SCS	
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply, do not complete additional parts of this form)		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Major Crop(s)		Acres Irrigated	
Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction		Average Farm Size	
Acres		Amount Of Farmland As Defined In FPPA	
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used		Name Of Local Site Assessment System	
Date Land Evaluation Returned By SCS		Date Land Evaluation Returned By SCS	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Alternative Site Rating	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		Site A	Site B
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly		Site C	Site D
C. Total Acres In Site			
PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Information			
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland			
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted			
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value			
PART V (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Criterion			
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)			
Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b))		Maximum Points	
1. Area In Nonurban Use			
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use			
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed			
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government			
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area			
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			
10. On-Farm Investments			
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)			
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100	
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	
Site Selected:		Date Of Selection	
Reason For Selection:		Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

1 (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of Land Evaluation Request <u>May 16, 1990</u>
Name Of Project <u>Monticello Remedial Action Project</u>		Federal Agency Involved <u>U.S. Dept. of Energy</u>
Proposed Land Use <u>Depository For Uranium Tailings</u>		County And State <u>Dan Juan County, UTAH</u>
PART II (To be completed by SCS)		Date Request Received By SCS

Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply — do not complete additional parts of this form)		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Acres Irrigated	Average Farm Size
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction	Acres	Amount Of Farmland As Defined In FPPA	Acres
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	Name Of Local Site Assessment System	Date Land Evaluation Returned By SCS		

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Alternative Site Rating			
		Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly					
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly					
C. Total Acres In Site					

PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Information					
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland					
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland					
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted					
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value					

PART V (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Criterion					
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)					

PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Maximum Points			
Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b))					
1. Area In Nonurban Use					
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use					
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed					
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government					
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area					
6. Distance To Urban Support Services					
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average					
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland					
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services					
10. On-Farm Investments					
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services					
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use					
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160			

PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)					
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100			
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160			
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260			

Site Selected:	Date Of Selection	Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Reason For Selection:		

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

I (To be completed by Federal Agency) Name Of Project: <u>Monticello Remedial Action Project</u> Proposed Land Use: <u>Depository For Uranium Tailings</u>		Date Of Land Evaluation Request: <u>May 16, 1990</u> Federal Agency Involved: <u>U.S. Dept. of Energy</u> County And State: <u>San Juan County, UTAH</u> Date Request Received By SCS: _____	
PART II (To be completed by SCS)			
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply -- do not complete additional parts of this form)		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Acres Irrigated: _____ Average Farm Size: _____
Major Crop(s): _____	Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction: _____	Amount Of Farmland As Defined In FPPA: _____	
Acres: _____ %	Acres: _____ %	Acres: _____ %	
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used: _____	Name Of Local Site Assessment System: _____	Date Land Evaluation Returned By SCS: _____	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Alternative Site Rating	
		Site A	Site B
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			
C. Total Acres In Site			
PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Information			
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland			
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted			
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value			
PART V (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Criterion			
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)			
Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b))	Maximum Points		
1. Area In Nonurban Use			
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use			
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed			
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government			
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area			
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			
10. On-Farm Investments			
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	160		
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)			
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100		
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	260		
Site Selected: _____	Date Of Selection: _____	Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Reason For Selection: _____			